

Home Dept: Music

Instructor: Zaccagnini,Michele

Course #: MUS 36A 1

Overall Instructor Rating (Avg. q12-q18): 4.67

Enrollment: 10

Responses: 8

Rate: 80%

Key: #-Total Responses 1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Neutral 4-Agree 5-Strongly Agree

**COURSE STRUCTURE AND GRADING**

General Structure	#	1	2	3	4	5	NA	Avg	S.D.
1 The course syllabus was comprehensive, clear, and accurate.	8	0	0	1	1	6		4.63	0.7
2 The learning goals were clearly stated in the syllabus.	8	0	0	1	1	6		4.63	0.7
3 Classes started and ended on time.	8	0	0	1	1	6		4.63	0.7
								Average:	<b>4.63</b>

Grading	#	1	2	3	4	5	NA	Avg	S.D.
4 Content of tests and assignments was consistent with content of lectures and/or reading.	8	0	0	0	3	5	0	4.63	0.5
5 Assignments and/or exams were returned promptly.	8	0	0	0	3	5	0	4.63	0.5
6 The grading policies were clear and consistently followed.	8	0	0	0	3	5		4.63	0.5
7 The graded assignments allowed me to demonstrate what I learned in the course.	8	0	0	0	3	5	0	4.63	0.5
								Average:	<b>4.63</b>

Content and Workload	#	1	2	3	4	5	NA	Avg	S.D.
8 The content covered in this course was challenging.	8	0	0	1	4	3		4.25	0.7
9 This course requires a lot of work.	8	0	0	2	4	2		4.00	0.8
								Average:	<b>4.13</b>

STUDENT RESPONSIBILITIES	#	1	2	3	4	5	NA	Avg	S.D.
10 I completed the course readings.	8	0	1	1	0	3	3	4.00	1.4
11 I kept up with work as it was assigned.	8	0	1	1	2	4		4.13	1.1
								Average:	<b>4.08</b>

INSTRUCTOR RESPONSIBILITIES AND SKILLS	#	1	2	3	4	5	NA	Avg	S.D.
12 The instructor was effective as a lecturer and/or class leader.	8	0	0	0	2	6		4.75	0.5
13 The instructor's presentations were clear and organized.	8	0	0	0	2	6	0	4.75	0.5
14 The instructor stimulated interest in the subject.	8	0	0	0	3	5		4.63	0.5
								Average:	<b>4.71</b>

**Brandeis University Course Evaluation Instructor Detail Report**

Home Dept: Music

Instructor: Zaccagnini,Michele

Course #: MUS 36A 1

Overall Instructor Rating (Avg. q12-q18): 4.67

Enrollment: 10

Responses: 8

Rate: 80%

**Key: #-Total Responses 1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Neutral 4-Agree 5-Strongly Agree**

<b>RESPONSIVENESS OF THE INSTRUCTOR</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Avg</b>	<b>S.D.</b>
15 The instructor was available and helpful to students outside the class.	8	0	0	0	2	6		4.75	0.5
16 The instructor respected students' ideas.	8	0	0	1	1	6		4.63	0.7
17 The instructor was concerned about student learning and development.	8	0	0	1	1	6		4.63	0.7
18 I received feedback that helped me see ways in which I could improve my learning and understanding.	8	0	0	0	3	5		4.63	0.5
								<b>Average:</b>	<b>4.66</b>

<b>CONTRIBUTION TO LEARNING</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Avg</b>	<b>S.D.</b>
19 The stated learning goals for the course were met.	7	0	0	0	2	5		4.71	0.5
20 This course improved my writing ability.	8	0	1	1	0	1	5	3.33	1.5
21 This course improved my oral communication skills.	8	0	1	1	0	1	5	3.33	1.5
22 This course improved my quantitative skills.	8	0	0	2	0	1	5	3.67	1.2
23 This course helped me develop my creative abilities.	8	0	0	0	1	6	1	4.86	0.4
24 This course helped me to analyze, interpret and synthesize information.	8	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.60	0.5
25 This course helped me to reason better and to think more critically about its subject matter.	8	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.67	0.5
26 This course helped me to consider alternative perspectives on complex issues.	8	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.60	0.9
								<b>Average:</b>	<b>4.41</b>

<b>OVERALL QUALITY OF THE COURSE</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Avg</b>	<b>S.D.</b>
27 The overall quality of this course was excellent.	8	0	0	1	2	5		4.50	0.8
								<b>Average:</b>	<b>4.50</b>

<b>STUDENT STATUS</b>	<b>#</b>	First Year	Sophmore	Junior	Senior	Master's	Doctoral
28 Your class standing?	8	0	2	2	4	0	0
	<b>#</b>	SCI	SocSCI	HUM	ART	Undecided	not applicable
29 What is your school of major?	8	2	2	2	2	0	0
	<b>#</b>	university req.	major req.	minor req.	interest	not applicable	
30 Why did you choose this course?	7	4	0	0	3	0	

Home Dept: Music

Instructor: Zaccagnini,Michele

Course #: MUS 36A 1

Overall Instructor Rating (Avg. q12-q18): 4.67

Enrollment: 10

Responses: 8

Rate: 80%

---

31 Please identify those aspects of the course you found most useful or valuable for learning.

- 1 The presentations and in class assignments helped a lot.
- 2 Instructor was fantastic and went over material at the right speed
- 3 The projects allowed us to start with a basic idea and get more of a foundation through the feedback we received in class. Prof Zaccagnini gave fantastic advice on how to improve our work.

**Brandeis University Course Evaluation Instructor Detail Report**

Summer 2015 Page 4

Home Dept: Music

Instructor: Zaccagnini,Michele

Course #: MUS 36A 1

Overall Instructor Rating (Avg. q12-q18): 4.67

Enrollment: 10

Responses: 8

Rate: 80%

---

32 What suggestions would you make to the instructor for improving the course?

1 none

2 Maybe go over key concepts 1 more time for clarity, but the course was great overall

**Brandeis University Course Evaluation Instructor Detail Report**

Summer 2015 Page 5

Home Dept: Music

Instructor: Zaccagnini,Michele

Course #: MUS 36A 1

Overall Instructor Rating (Avg. q12-q18): 4.67

Enrollment: 10

Responses: 8

Rate: 80%

---

33 If you feel that this instructor should be considered for a teaching award, please explain why. Please understand that completing this section does not constitute a nomination for a teaching award. To nominate a